

NSF-sponsored workshop on Materials by Design

March 17th to 19th, 2011

Loma Pelona Center, University of California, Santa Barbara

<http://www.mbd.mrl.ucsb.edu/>

Participant list, and topics.

Condensed matter experiment and crystal growth:		
Clarina de la Cruz	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	delacruzcr@ornl.gov
<i>1. Neutrons in the study and development of new materials. 2. New pnictide superconductors and novel multiferroic materials.</i>		
Emilia Morosan	Rice University	emorosan@rice.edu
<i>1. Correlations between crystal structure and physical properties (magnetism, superconductivity). 2. Making the growth of bulk materials controllable and predictable.</i>		
David Mandrus	University of Tennessee, Knoxville	dmandrus@utk.edu
<i>The indispensable role of new materials in the advance of condensed matter physics.</i>		
John Mitchell	Argonne National Laboratory	mitchell@anl.gov
<i>1. Doping in transition metal oxides: What do we mean by 'intrinsic' behavior? 2. Synthesis by design vs. materials by design</i>		
Art Ramirez	UC Santa Cruz	apr@soe.ucsc.edu
<i>The NRC report.</i>		
Theo Siegrist	Florida State University	tsiegrist@fsu.edu
<i>1. Connections between crystal growers and measurement: How do we learn to speak the same language? Examples from recent activities in iron arsenides. 2. What defines a "good" sample? Intrinsic vs. extrinsic properties.</i>		
Susanne Stemmer	UCSB	stemmer@mrl.ucsb.edu
<i>1. Novel approaches to the synthesis of highly-perfect, high-purity oxide thin films. 2. Opportunities for novel transport phenomena in oxide heterostructures.</i>		
Hybrids and soft materials:		
Michael Chabinyc	UCSB	mchabinyc@engineering.ucsb.edu
<i>1. Fundamental needs in ordering of polymers. 2. Electronic properties and organic/hybrid materials for energy conversion.</i>		
Mircea Dincă	MIT	mdinca@mit.edu
<i>Electronic properties of porous organic-inorganic hybrids.</i>		
Daniel Frisbie	University of Minnesota	frisbie@umn.edu
<i>1. New materials for printed polymer electronics. 2. A new class of gel electrolytes based on ionic liquids and block copolymers.</i>		
Miguel Garcia-Garibay	UCLA	mgg@chem.ucla.edu
<i></i>		
Robert Haddon	UC Riverside	haddon@ucr.edu
<i>1. Electronic and magnetic phase transitions in crystals of spin-bearing organic molecules. 2. Chemical functionalization of graphene as a route to band gap engineering and to the realization of new electronic and magnetic graphene-based materials.</i>		
Thuc-Quyen Nguyen	UCSB	quyen@chem.ucsb.edu
<i>1. Intelligent Materials Design and synthesis, and 2. Probing nanoscale properties</i>		
Mark Thompson	University of Southern California	met@usc.edu

Liquid crystals:		
Oleg Lavrentovich	Kent State University	olavrent@kent.edu
<i>1. Functional liquid crystals by design. 2. Lyotropic Liquid Crystals. 3. Liquid Crystals far from equilibrium. 4. Soft and reconfigurable optical metamaterials.</i>		
Nanomaterials:		
Shannon Boettcher	University of Oregon	swb@uoregon.edu
<i>Inorganic materials for solar energy conversion and storage, particularly for solar water splitting.</i>		
Stephanie Brock	Wayne State	sbrock@chem.wayne.edu
<i>Prospects for achieving the kinds of compositional complexity on the nanoscale that we routinely achieve in bulk phases: ternaries and beyond.</i>		
Kyung-Shin Choi	Purdue	kchoi1@purdue.edu
<i>Shape dependent or atomic plane dependent properties: Calculations and experiments.</i>		
Pingyun Feng	UC Riverside	pyfeng@ucr.edu
<i>1. Solar energy conversion using photocatalysts to produce chemical fuels (e.g. dihydrogen from water) through band-gap engineering of solid state materials. 2. Synthetic design of porous materials for energy/environment related applications such as fuel (e.g. H₂, CH₄) storage, separation, and CO₂ sequestration.</i>		
Song Jin	Wisconsin	jin@chem.wisc.edu
<i>1. Rational nanomaterial synthesis and crystal growth (dislocation-driven growth). 2. Strongly correlated materials with complex magnetic orderings (skyrmions and helimagnetic ordering).</i>		
Amy Prieto	Colorado State	alprieto@lamar.colostate.edu
<i>1. Developing synthetic methods that produce pure nanomaterials with control over impurities/dopants. 2. Exploiting low temperature routes toward making functional solids with the goal of integrating them into devices using inexpensive processing.</i>		
Sarah Tolbert	UCLA	tolbert@chem.ucla.edu
<i>1. Nanostructured materials for charge storage. 2. Engineering strain in nanostructured materials as a route to control over materials properties.</i>		
Solid State Chemistry:		
Julia Chan	Louisiana State University	jchan@lsu.edu
<i>Crystal growth and characterization of targeted structures.</i>		
Sossina Haile	Caltech	smhaile@caltech.edu
<i>From thermochemical trends to useful properties in energy conversion and storage.</i>		
P. Shiv Halasyamani	University of Houston	psh@uh.edu
<i>Structure-property relationships in functional materials and crystal growth.</i>		
Mercouri Kanatzidis	Northwestern	m-kanatzidis@northwestern.edu
<i>1. How do we define "materials by design"? 2. The science of synthesis versus guided serendipity.</i>		

Susan Kauzlarich	UC Davis	smkauzlarich@ucdavis.edu
<i>1. Materials quality. 2. Collaboration with Physics and theory: Overcoming barriers.</i>		
Tyrel M. McQueen	Johns Hopkins University	mcqueen@jhu.edu
<i>1. Synthesis of new metastable, "high-quality", strongly correlated materials. 2. Bridging the Physics-Chemistry Language and Culture Gap.</i>		
M. A. Subramanian	Oregon State University	Mas.Subramanian@oregonstate.edu
<i>Exploratory synthesis in solid state chemistry: A 'scenic' route to materials by design.</i>		
Patrick Woodward	Ohio State University	woodward@chemistry.ohio-state.edu
<i>Spin polarized conductors and high temperature magnets.</i>		
Theory:		
Leon Balents	UCSB	balents@kitp.ucsb.edu
<i>Known unknowns and unknown unknowns: How do we (theory, experiment, and growth) search for new Physics in materials?</i>		
Giulia Galli	UC Davis	gagalli@ucdavis.edu
<i>Theory and simulations of materials for energy applications: 1. calculations in realistic environments and comparison with experiment; 2. Can theory and simulation make a real difference?</i>		
Craig Fennie	Cornell	fennie@cornell.edu
<i>1. Theory-driven materials discovery, how do we best take advantage of close theory-experimental collaborations? 2. Designing properties and functionality versus designing materials: A theorist viewpoint.</i>		
Joel Moore	UC Berkeley	jemoore@berkeley.edu
<i>1. Research needs for spintronic and magnetoelectric materials, including both oxides and topological insulators. 2. How to increase interaction between first-principles and phenomenological theory.</i>		
Karin Rabe	Rutgers	rabe@physics.rutgers.edu
David Singh	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	singhdj@ornl.gov
<i>Interplay between materials discovery and theory.</i>		

Also:

UCSB:

Ram Seshadri <seshadri@mrl.ucsb.edu>

Marisol Cedillo Dougherty <josho1@engineering.ucsb.edu>

NSF:

Linda Sapochak <lsapocha@nsf.gov> : Daniele Finotello <dfinotel@nsf.gov> : Timothy Patten <tpatten@nsf.gov>